#### 2008-1001

#### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

#### ROBERT JACOBSEN, an individual,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

VS.

MATTHEW KATZER, and KAMIND ASSOCIATES, INC., (doing business as KAM Industries);

Defendants-Appellees.

## ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA IN CASE NUMBER C06-1905-JSW,

JUDGE JEFFREY S. WHITE

## RESPONSE TO JACOBSEN'S POST-ARGUMENT CITATION OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITIES AND ADDITIONAL ARGUMENT

R. Scott Jerger (OR State Bar #02337) Field Jerger LLP 610 SW Alder Street, Suite 910 Portland, OR 97205 Tel: (503) 228-9115

Fax: (503) 225-0276

Email: scott@fieldjerger.com

Attorneys for Defendants-Appellees Matthew Katzer and Kamind Associates, Inc.

May 14, 2008

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

|                                |   | TELEBRICAL CIRCUIT   |
|--------------------------------|---|--|
|                                | Robert Jacobsen   | V. Matthew Katzer and KAMIND Associates  |
|                                |   | No. 2008-1001  |
|                                | CER   | TIFICATE OF INTEREST   |
| Counsel f  Appellee if necessa | certi   | lant) (respondent) (appellee) (amicus) (name of party) fies the following (use "None" if applicable; use extra sheets              |
| 1. Th                          |   | ty or amicus represented by me is:   |
| 2. Th<br>party in in<br>N/A    | ne name of the real party<br>exterest) represented by m | in interest (if the party named in the caption is not the real e is:   |
| 3. All of the stoc             | parent corporations and k of the party or amicus        | any publicly held companies that own 10 percent or more curiae represented by me are:  |
| or amicus<br>court are:        | e names of all law firms                                | and the partners or associates that appeared for the party in the trial court or agency or are expected to appear in this er, P.C. |
| 5                              | Date  | Signature of counsel  Scott Serger  Printed name of counsel  |

# RESPONSE TO JACOBSEN'S POST-ARGUMENT CITATION TO SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITIES AND ADDITIONAL ARGUMENT

Defendants-Appellees Matthew Katzer and Kamind Associates, Inc.

(Katzer) hereby respond to Plaintiff-Appellant Jacobsen's Citation to Supplemental Authorities, which includes substantial legal argument.

Specht is not relevant to the case at bar because Katzer admits he had actual notice of the Artistic License. See e.g. Brief of Appellees at 11; Joint Appendix (JA) at A121, A292. Actual knowledge of the license effectively binds Katzer to the terms of the license and Jacobsen (as the offeror) is estopped from claiming that a binding contract was not formed. Register.com, Inc. v. Verio, Inc., 356 F.3d 393, 402 (2<sup>nd</sup> Cir. 2004); Cairo, Inc. v. Crossmedia Servs., 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8450 at \*13 (N.D. Ca. 2005); Southwest Airlines Co. v. BoardFirst, LLC, 2007 U.S Dist. LEXIS 96230 at \*17 (N.D. Tex. 2007).

The issue, rather, is whether Katzer's actions exceeded the scope of the license grant and whether the source of Jacobsen's complaint is grounded in a right protected by the Copyright Act. *Storage Tech. Corp. v. Custom Hardware Eng'g* & *Consulting, Inc.*, 421 F.3d. 1307, 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2005). The Artistic License does not limit *how* the Decoder Definition files *themselves* can be used (*i.e.* copied, modified, or distributed). *See id.* Rather, the attribution requirement and the choice of one additional action at 3(a)-(d) and 4(a)-(d), chosen by the licensee, are

restrictions beyond the scope of the exclusive copyright rights. In the words of Jacobsen, these restrictions are "for having to give credit, and tell people where it came from and/or where to get their own copy of the software." JA at A292. These attribution requirements, like the covenant discussed in *Storage Tech. Corp.* above, are not related to any of the exclusive copyright rights. Therefore, these restrictions are not conditions on the copyright license grant, or a limitation on the scope of the copyright license itself. Rather they are additional, non-copyright related covenants incorporated into the copyright license grant. Therefore, they are not enforceable under federal copyright law.

Dated: May 14, 2008

Respectfully submitted by:

R. Scott Jerger (OSB#02337)

Field Jerger LLP

610 SW Alder, Suite 910

Portland, Oregon 97205

Tel: (503) 228-9115

Fax: (503) 225-0276

Of Attorneys for Defendants-

Appellees

### PROOF OF SERVICE

2008-1001

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

ROBERT JACOBSEN, an individual,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

VS.

MATTHEW KATZER, and KAMIND ASSOCIATES, INC., (doing business as KAM Industries);

Defendants-Appellees.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA IN CASE NUMBER C06-1905-JSW, JUDGE JEFFREY S. WHITE

I hereby certify that on May 14, 2008 I filed the original and six copies of Defendants-Appellees Response to Jacobsen's Post-Argument Citation of Supplemental Authorities and Additional Argument on the Clerk of the US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit at the following address via overnight mail:

Clerk of Court United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit 717 Madison Place, NW Washington, DC 20439

I further certify that on May 14, 2008, I served two true copies of Defendants-Appellees Response to Jacobsen's Post-Argument Citation of Supplemental Authorities and Additional **Argument** on each of the following parties, through their attorneys, at the following address via first class mail, postage prepaid:

Victoria K. Hall
Law Office of Victoria K. Hall
3 Bethesda Metro Suite 700
Bethesda MD 20814
Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellant Robert Jacobsen

Christopher Ridder
Anthony Falzone
Stanford Law School
Center for Internet and Society
Crown Quadrangle
559 Nathan Abbott Way
Stanford, CA 94305-8610

Attorneys for Amici Curiae

Dated: May 14, 2008

R. Scott Jerger (OR State Bar #02337)

Field Jerger LLP

Attorney for Defendant-Appellee