2008-1001

RECEIVED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERALS INCUIT US COURT OF APPEALS ROBERT JACOBSEN, EDERAL CIRCUIT

Plaintiff-Appellant,

V.

MATTHEW KATZER and KAMIND ASSOCIATES, INC. (doing business as KAM Industries),

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in Case No. 06-CV-1905, Judge Jeffrey S. White.

Response to Defendants-Appellees' Katzer and KAMIND Associates, Inc.'s
Response to Amici Curiae Creative Commons Corporation et al's Motion
for Leave to Participate in Oral Argument

Katzer and KAMIND Associates, Inc. ("Katzer and KAMIND")

oppose Amici Curiae Creative Commons Corporation et al's ("Amici")

Motion for Leave to Participate in Oral Argument. Jacobsen consents.

Because this case involves a matter of first impression of potentially great significance to Amici, the Court should grant leave for Amici to participate in oral argument.

A Court may permit an amicus to participate in oral argument. Fed.

R. App. P. Rule 29(g). Courts in the future will look to this Court's ruling in

Jacobsen v. Katzer, and how it addressed the copyright-contract-license

distinction at issue in the appeal. Because the Court may seek guidance from the parties during oral argument on the rule to be used in the future to interpret open source licenses, Amici should be permitted to participate in oral argument. Amici have specialized knowledge in the field of open source software and enforcement of copyrights. Some Amici have drafted open source licenses, including the license at issue in this appeal. Some Amici engage in transactions involving open source software licenses. A rule which this Court adopts may have implications that the parties are unaware of, but that Amici can address. If the Court seeks guidance during oral argument on the rule the Court should adopt, Amici's knowledge of open source software licenses, and policy issues relating to those licenses, will be invaluable to the Court. For these reasons, Jacobsen supports Amici's motion. The Court should grant Amici's motion for leave to participate in oral argument.

Katzer and KAMIND contend that Amici should share Jacobsen's allotted oral argument time, unless extraordinary circumstances exist. Even if this reading of Rule 29(g) is correct, extraordinary circumstances do exist. After Jacobsen discovered that Katzer and KAMIND were using Jacobsen's code in their products, Jacobsen amended his complaint to include causes of action related to copyright. With that amendment, and the motions that

followed, the <u>Jacobsen</u> case evolved from a private dispute, to a matter of first impression for enforcing copyrights in open source software. Again, Amici's unique expertise in open source license will provide invaluable assistance to the Court. To deny Amici the opportunity to participate in oral argument would be to silence any input from Amici on issues raised by the Court during oral argument. Again, for these reasons, the Court should grant leave to permit Amici to participate in oral argument.

Katzer and KAMIND contend that Jacobsen and Amici's arguments are duplicative. They are not. Jacobsen focuses on whether Katzer and KAMIND have a license under any theory. Amici address similar aspects of the district court's decision, but address issues relating to public licenses in general. The Court will benefit from hearing from Amici. Katzer and KAMIND contend that they will be prejudiced if the Court grants additional time so that Amici may participate in oral argument. To the extent that Katzer and KAMIND need more time to respond to Amici's arguments, the Court should grant them an additional 5-10 minutes, and grant Jacobsen 5 minutes to respond to Katzer and KAMIND's and Amici's remarks.

For the reasons stated above, Jacobsen supports Amici's motion for leave to participate in oral argument. The Court should grant leave to permit Amici to participate in oral argument.

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: April 16, 2008

Victoria K. Hall

Victoria K. Hall

LAW OFFICE OF VICTORIA K. HALL

3 Bethesda Metro Suite 700

Bethesda MD 20814

Telephone:

301-280-5925

Facsimile: 240-536-9142

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

Robert Jacobsen v	. Matthew Katzer and KAMIND Associates
No. 2008-1001	
CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST	
Robert Jacobsen certifies the following (use "None" if applicable; use extra sheets if necessary):	
The full name of every party or amicus represented by me is: Robert Gibbs Jacobsen	
2. The name of the real party in interest (if the party named in the caption is not the real party in interest) represented by me is: None	
All parent corporations and any publicly of the stock of the party or amicus curiae repres None	held companies that own 10 percent or more sented by me are:
4. There is no such corporation as listed in paragraph 3.	
5. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for the party or amicus now represented by me in the trial court or agency or are expected to appear in this court are:	
Law Office of Victoria K. Hall	
For the record, I was an intern, serving w 4/16/08 Date	ith Judge Richard Linn from June-August 2002. VICTORIA K-HALL
	Printed name of counsel

JACOBSEN v KATZER, 2008-1001

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 16, 2008, I sent the attached Response to Defendants-Appellees Matthew Katzer and KAMIND, Associates, Inc.'s Response to Motion for Leave by Amici Curiae Creative Commons Corporation, et al. to Participate in Oral Argument, by first class mail postage prepaid, to:

R. Scott Jerger Field Jerger LLP 610 SW Alder St. Suite 910 Portland OR 97205

Attorney for Defendants-Appellees Matthew Katzer and KAMIND Associates, Inc.

Anthony T. Falzone Stanford Law School Center for Internet & Society Crown Quandrangle 559 Nathan Abbott Way Stanford CA 94305-8610

Attorney for Amici Curiae Creative Commons Corp. et al.

DATED: April 16, 2008

By Mctoria K. Hall

LAW OFFICE OF VICTORIA K. HALL

3 Bethesda Metro Suite 700

Bethesda MD 20814

Facsimile:

Telephone: 301-280-5925

240-536-9142

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT