Hall Reply Declaration Exhibit B

ſ	
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	
5	ROBERT JACOBSEN, an individual,)
6	Plaintiff,
7	vs.) No. C-06-1905-JSW
9	MATTHEW KATZER, an individual,) and KAMIND ASSOCIATES, INC., an) Oregon corporation dba KAM) Industries,
10	Defendants.)
11)
12	ORIGINAL
13	
14	VIDEO DEPOSITION OF FRED SEVERSON Taken in behalf of the Plaintiff
15	
16	September 14, 2009
17	Portland, Oregon
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Q. Okay. Has having JMRI decoder support in
2	Decoder Pro helped or hurt QSI?
3	A. I do not know the answer to that.
4	Q. Would you like Bob Jacobsen to remove support
5	for QSI decoders?
6	A. I don't think I care.
7	Q. You said that you have information about what
8	was incorporated from the manuals in JMRI decoder
9	definitions; is that correct?
10	MR. RUSSELL: I'm going to have to object,
11	because you are mischaracterizing his testimony.
12	BY MS. HALL:
13	Q. Is that a mischaracterization?
14	A. I don't know. Perhaps. I just I'll repeat
15	what I said, is that I was shown information by Matt in my
16	conference room regarding what was happening in that
17	lawsuit, and then it was removed. Does that answer your
18	question? I'm not sure I understand.
19	Q. So it's not in your possession then right now?
20	A. No. It's not in my possession. I do not have
21	that.
22	Q. So you don't know what information, if any, was
23	incorporated in JMRI decoder definition files?
24	A. All I remember, there was a couple of phrases in
25	there. Something with a plus sign, and I think there was,

becomes our application specific integrated circuit, which 1 we own, and the firmware that we send to them to include 2 3 with the product when they assemble it. Okay. Does JMRI's QSI decoder definitions hurt 4 0. 5 the market for your product? 6 Α. Not that I am aware of. 7 0. Okay. 8 But like I said, it could if the information is actually wrong. 9 MS. HALL: Move to strike the last part as 10 11 speculation. 12 MR. RUSSELL: I object. He answered your 13 question as stated. You're stuck with his answer. 14 BY MS. HALL: 15 Does JMRI's inclusion of decoder definitions encourage model railroaders to buy and use QSI decoder 16 17 chips or your product? 18 Α. I don't know. 19 Okay. Let's see here. We're going to go 0. through just a few more things. Then we'll get to the 20 21 stack of e-mails. Okay. I'm going to take a quick break. I'll be 22 right back. 23 24 0. That sounds good. 25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record at

that Matt was being sued on copyrighted material that I wrote. I can't have that, because I cannot deal with my OEMs and I cannot deal with Microsoft until I establish ownership. If Matt if JMRI is claiming ownership of this material, then that is completely unacceptable to me Q. If I told you that they were not they were not claiming ownership of data, and in fact their registration says it excludes preexisting manufacturer data, would that change your opinion? A. Say that again. Excludes what? Q. If I told you that the copyright registrations that Bob Jacobsen filed specifically stated that he has n rights to preexisting manufacturer data A. Preexisting manufacturer data Q. Data. A. Data. What does that mean?		
that Matt was being sued on copyrighted material that I wrote. I can't have that, because I cannot deal with my OEMs and I cannot deal with Microsoft until I establish ownership. If Matt if JMRI is claiming ownership of this material, then that is completely unacceptable to me Q. If I told you that they were not they were not claiming ownership of data, and in fact their registration says it excludes preexisting manufacturer data, would that change your opinion? A. Say that again. Excludes what? Q. If I told you that the copyright registrations that Bob Jacobsen filed specifically stated that he has n rights to preexisting manufacturer data A. Preexisting manufacturer Q. Data. A. Data. What does that mean? Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talkin about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	1	Q. Help me understand that.
wrote. I can't have that, because I cannot deal with my OEMs and I cannot deal with Microsoft until I establish ownership. If Matt if JMRI is claiming ownership of this material, then that is completely unacceptable to me Q. If I told you that they were not they were not claiming ownership of data, and in fact their registration says it excludes preexisting manufacturer data, would that change your opinion? A. Say that again. Excludes what? Q. If I told you that the copyright registrations that Bob Jacobsen filed specifically stated that he has n rights to preexisting manufacturer data A. Preexisting manufacturer Q. Data. A. Data. What does that mean? Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talkin about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	2	A. I was presented with information that indicated
OEMs and I cannot deal with Microsoft until I establish ownership. If Matt if JMRI is claiming ownership of this material, then that is completely unacceptable to me Q. If I told you that they were not they were not claiming ownership of data, and in fact their registration says it excludes preexisting manufacturer data, would that change your opinion? A. Say that again. Excludes what? Q. If I told you that the copyright registrations that Bob Jacobsen filed specifically stated that he has n rights to preexisting manufacturer data A. Preexisting manufacturer Q. Data. A. Data. What does that mean? Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talkin about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	3	that Matt was being sued on copyrighted material that I
ownership. If Matt if JMRI is claiming ownership of this material, then that is completely unacceptable to me Q. If I told you that they were not they were not claiming ownership of data, and in fact their registration says it excludes preexisting manufacturer data, would that change your opinion? A. Say that again. Excludes what? Q. If I told you that the copyright registrations that Bob Jacobsen filed specifically stated that he has no rights to preexisting manufacturer data A. Preexisting manufacturer Q. Data. A. Data. What does that mean? Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talking about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	4	wrote. I can't have that, because I cannot deal with my
this material, then that is completely unacceptable to me Q. If I told you that they were not they were not claiming ownership of data, and in fact their registration says it excludes preexisting manufacturer data, would that change your opinion? A. Say that again. Excludes what? Q. If I told you that the copyright registrations that Bob Jacobsen filed specifically stated that he has n rights to preexisting manufacturer data A. Preexisting manufacturer Q. Data. A. Data. What does that mean? Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talkin about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	5	OEMs and I cannot deal with Microsoft until I establish
9 not claiming ownership of data, and in fact their 10 registration says it excludes preexisting manufacturer 11 data, would that change your opinion? 12 A. Say that again. Excludes what? 13 Q. If I told you that the copyright registrations 14 that Bob Jacobsen filed specifically stated that he has n 15 rights to preexisting manufacturer data 16 A. Preexisting manufacturer 17 Q. Data. 18 A. Data. What does that mean? 19 Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talkin 20 about. Would that change your opinion? 21 MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for 22 speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in 23 evidence, and what he might or might not do in the 24 future	6	ownership. If Matt if JMRI is claiming ownership of
not claiming ownership of data, and in fact their registration says it excludes preexisting manufacturer data, would that change your opinion? A. Say that again. Excludes what? Q. If I told you that the copyright registrations that Bob Jacobsen filed specifically stated that he has n rights to preexisting manufacturer data A. Preexisting manufacturer Q. Data. A. Data. What does that mean? Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talkin about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	7	this material, then that is completely unacceptable to me.
registration says it excludes preexisting manufacturer data, would that change your opinion? A. Say that again. Excludes what? Q. If I told you that the copyright registrations that Bob Jacobsen filed specifically stated that he has n rights to preexisting manufacturer data A. Preexisting manufacturer Q. Data. A. Data. What does that mean? Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talkin about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	8	Q. If I told you that they were not they were
data, would that change your opinion? A. Say that again. Excludes what? Q. If I told you that the copyright registrations that Bob Jacobsen filed specifically stated that he has no rights to preexisting manufacturer data A. Preexisting manufacturer Q. Data. A. Data. What does that mean? Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talking about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	9	not claiming ownership of data, and in fact their
A. Say that again. Excludes what? Q. If I told you that the copyright registrations that Bob Jacobsen filed specifically stated that he has no rights to preexisting manufacturer data A. Preexisting manufacturer Q. Data. A. Data. What does that mean? Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talking about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	10	registration says it excludes preexisting manufacturer
Q. If I told you that the copyright registrations that Bob Jacobsen filed specifically stated that he has n rights to preexisting manufacturer data A. Preexisting manufacturer Q. Data. A. Data. What does that mean? Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talkin about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	11	data, would that change your opinion?
that Bob Jacobsen filed specifically stated that he has many rights to preexisting manufacturer data A. Preexisting manufacturer Q. Data. A. Data. What does that mean? Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talking about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	12	A. Say that again. Excludes what?
rights to preexisting manufacturer data A. Preexisting manufacturer Q. Data. A. Data. What does that mean? Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talkin about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	13	Q. If I told you that the copyright registrations
A. Preexisting manufacturer Q. Data. A. Data. What does that mean? Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talkin about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	14	that Bob Jacobsen filed specifically stated that he has no
Q. Data. A. Data. What does that mean? Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talkin about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	15	rights to preexisting manufacturer data
A. Data. What does that mean? Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talkin about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	16	A. Preexisting manufacturer
Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talkin about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	17	Q. Data.
about. Would that change your opinion? MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	18	A. Data. What does that mean?
MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	19	Q. Such as these little phrases that you're talking
speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	20	about. Would that change your opinion?
evidence, and what he might or might not do in the future	21	MR. RUSSELL: I think you're calling for
future	22	speculation on Fred's part on facts that aren't in
	23	evidence, and what he might or might not do in the
THE WITNESS: Right.	24	future
T. Control of the con	25	THE WITNESS: Right.

were doing anything beyond what you've just said? 1 2 Not until I was informed by Matt that, you know, he was being sued on stuff that I had written. Before 3 that, no, I didn't have any reason to believe that. 4 5 0. Okay. 6 I had not seen his product. As I mentioned, I never downloaded it or ran it. 7 Okay. And would it be fair to say that from 8 what you heard from Matt that you believed Bob was 9 10 claiming rights in the QSI manual? 11 Claiming rights to copyrighted material from the 12 manual, yeah. 13 And what copyrighted material was that? Like I mentioned before, I was shown those 14 A. instances at a conference. Those papers were taken away. 15 I didn't -- I don't remember. I've just got a vague idea. 16 At the time I was convinced that that was true. 17 And was it on that basis that you granted the 18 Ο. assignment -- the November 3, 2006 assignment and the May 19 5, 2008 assignment to Matthew Katzer? 20 21 A. Yes. About -- getting back to the QSI manual, have 22 you ever licensed that manual to anyone else other than 23 24 Matthew Katzer? No. The way it works with, like, say our OEMs 25 A.

Pruss have your authorization to send this information to JMRI developers so that they could create decoder definitions to support the QSI decoders?

A. You know, in my personal opinion it looks like Gerry did these guys a favor by con-catenating the information that was already available, in a format that made it easy for them to incorporate it into their product. That's my guess. And then Gerry could certainly be able to answer that. But that's exactly what it looks like. But, yeah, I mean, he had my authorization to send this kind of information out. This is just straight information that's available --

Q. Yeah.

A. -- in the reference manual and in CV Manager.

(Reviewing document.) It looks like it was done for each of the models in the same way. It's just -- why he did it differently, I have no idea.

Gerry's a tool writer. He's always writing software tools to make things easier, particularly the presentation of data, and I suspect that's what happened here. But so far I don't see anything that is not in the public domain in our manuals, but I'm not going through this line by line, you know.

Q. No. No. No.

A. I'm just giving this an overview.